

Welcome! The Forum will begin momentarily. While you wait, please let us know your favorite ocean movie title and your affiliation to the right.

You can also join the conversation on Twitter #MidAForum @OurOceanMARCO







Webinar Logistics & Overview



Tony MacDonald Director, Monmouth University Urban Coast Institute

- Webinar Background Info link available (bottom left) for reference. General 'Chat' box to be monitored throughout for questions/issues.
- The Forum will be highly interactive, and its success depends on your participation
- Comments/questions will be captured
- Forum recording and summary will be shared along with presentations and background materials



Agenda

10:00 a.m. WELCOME

- Overview and Logistics
- 10:15 a.m. KEYNOTE REMARKS

10:30 a.m. POLICY, CLIMATE AND TECHNOLOGY PERSPECTIVES ON FUTURE OCEAN MANAGEMENT

- White House Office of Science and Technology Policy Perspectives on Future Ocean Management
- Changing Climate, Changing Ocean
- The Future of Offshore Wind Energy Technology

11:00 a.m. PARTICIPANT PERSPECTIVES, Q&A

11:15 a.m.STAKEHOLDER INPUT: FUTURE DIRECTIONS FOR
MID-ATLANTIC OCEAN MANAGEMENT

- Building on Current MACO and Work Group Efforts
- Brainstorming Other Collaboration Needs & Opportunities

12:15 p.m. WRAP-UP AND NEXT STEPS



WELCOME



Kisha Santiago-Martinez

New York State Deputy Secretary of State, Chair, Mid-Atlantic Regional Council on the Ocean (MARCO) Management Board







WELCOME



Kevin Hassell

Environmental Specialist, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, Chair, Mid-Atlantic Committee on the Ocean (MACO)







KEYNOTE REMARKS



Alicia Barton President and CEO, New York State Energy and Research Development Authority (NYSERDA)







POLICY, CLIMATE & TECHNOLOGY PERSPECTIVES ON FUTURE OCEAN MANAGEMENT



Darryl Francois

Chief of Engineering and Technical Review, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) Office of Renewable Energy Programs





Panelists



Deerin Babb-Brott

Principal Assistant Director for Oceans and Environment, White House Office of Science and Technology Policy



Charles Stock

Research Oceanographer, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory



Walt Musial

Offshore Wind Lead, National Renewable Energy Laboratory Ocean Policy Committee Update For the Mid-Atlantic Ocean Forum

Deerin Babb-Brott

Principal Assistant Director, Oceans and Environment Office of Science and Technology Policy

www.whitehouse.gov/ostp www.ostp.gov @WHOSTP



Summary (https://www.whitehouse.gov/ceq/initiatives/ocean-policy/)

- Policy actions to advance:
 - Mapping, exploring, and characterizing the U.S. EEZ and AK
 - Efficiency in authorizations for research, mapping, and exploration
 - Regional and national data and decision-making capacity
- Context
 - Executive Order 13840 "Ocean Policy to Advance the Economic, Security, and Environmental Interests of the United States" (2018)
 - Science and Technology for America's Oceans: A Decadal Vision (2018)
 - White House Summit on Partnerships for Ocean S&T (2019)
 - Presidential Memorandum on Ocean Mapping of the EEZ and Shoreline/Nearshore of Alaska (2019)
 - ORM data implementation plan



Background

- Executive Order 13840 "Ocean Policy to Advance the Economic, Security, and Environmental Interests of the United States" (2018)
 - Data, ROPs, engagement with ocean community, partnerships to advance ocean S&T
- Science and Technology for America's Oceans: A Decadal Vision (2018)
 - Five national goals; five "immediate opportunities," including:
 - Data integration on decision support tools
 - Ocean exploration and characterization
 - Research and technology partnerships



Summit on Partnerships/Ocean S&T

- Build and support partnerships across academia, private sector, philanthropy, and government
- Opener: Scripps, Walton Family Foundation, Fugro USA, OSTP, CEQ, NSF
- Sessions framed by priorities in the Ocean Decadal Vision
 - Exploring the Ocean (NOAA & University of New Hampshire)
 - Conserving Living Marine Resources (NOAA and TNC)
 - Protecting Coastal Health and Safety (NOAA and WHOI)
 - Sustaining Ocean Observations (ONR and Marine Technology Society)
 - Promoting Food Security (NOAA and University of South Florida)
 - Enabling Ocean Energy (DOE and Shell)
 - Characterizing Ocean Life (NASA and Rockefeller University)
 - Leveraging Big Data (NSF and MBARI)



Summit on Partnerships/Ocean S&T

- Takeaways:
 - The U.S. is poised to lead a second era of bold innovation in ocean S&T
 - Partnerships across academia, philanthropy, the private sector, and government are essential to advancing ocean S&T
 - A collaborative and dynamic strategy for partnerships in ocean S&T would coordinate, focus, and catalyze national effort
- Follow-up sessions held at academic and industry conferences/events; Federal Register request for public comment



PM on Ocean Mapping

- Map the U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ)
- Explore and characterize priority areas of the EEZ (FR notice)
- Map Alaska nearshore and shoreline (FR notice)
- Increase permitting efficiency for exploration



National Strategy for Ocean Mapping, Exploration, and Characterization

- Map the U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ)
- Explore and characterize priority areas
 - Identify priority areas
 - Partner with non-USG entities
 - Integrate new and emerging technologies
- Task Force draws from Congressionally-mandated SOST Interagency Working Group on Ocean and Coastal Mapping
- Co-Chaired by Dr. Alan Leonardi and RDML Shep Smith



Process for Mapping & OE Strategy

Ocean Policy Committee

Ocean Science & Technology Ocean Resource Management NOAA Subcommittee Subcommittee Task Force drafts National ORM drafts recommendations for NOAA drafts Strategy for Strategy for Mapping, efficient permitting of mapping, Mapping the Arctic and Exploration, and exploration, and characterization Sub-Arctic Shoreline and Characterization activities Nearshore of Alaska



Timelines

- June 2020 Ocean Month
 - Presidential Proclamation
 - National mapping strategy (PMs2)
 - Alaska mapping strategy (PMs3)
 - Research permitting recommendations (PMs4)
 - Partnerships for ocean S&T report
- Summer
 - Begin implementation of s2, 3, and 4
 - Continue ORM data implementation
 - s4 recommendations can advance regional data, best practices, process interests



Office of Science and Technology Policy

www.whitehouse.gov/ostp www.ostp.gov @WHOSTP



Charles Stock

Changing Climate, Changing Ocean NOAA/Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory





Thank your local ocean



The ocean has absorbed 1/3 of emitted CO₂ and over 90% of the heat added by greenhouse gases. This service, however, has come with a price: warming, acidification, deoxygenation, stratification.





Source: IPCC's 5th assessment report

What about the Mid-Atlantic shelf?



Sources: NOAA fisheries 2019 MAB SOE report; Boston Globe; Rutgers Ocean Adapt (Prof. Malin Pinsky)

Is this just a warm spell or is it here to stay?



Most years will be warmer than the warmest year observed between 1976-2005 by the middle of this century

Sources: NOAA Fisheries, 2018 MAB SOE report; Alexander et al. (2018) Elem. Sci. Anth.

Ocean Acidification



Source: Rheuban et al., 2018; PLoS ONE

- Different colors correspond to range of acidification impacts on scallops ecology and life cycles
- Resilient over the next 20 years, but sharp declines possible afterward
- Numerous ongoing efforts by NOAA fisheries and partners to determine which colored curve is most likely (NEFSC; Shannon Meseck; Dvora Hart)

Climate and shifting winds

An Example: The North Atlantic Oscillation



Positive NAO

Negative NAO

Climate and shifting winds

Observed North American wind speed anomalies (black line) versus that explained by climate variability (red line)



Other climate topics for discussion

- Rising sea levels
- Potential increased hurricane risks
- Potential increased river flow extremes
- Altered seasonality and amount of ocean productivity
- Changes in ocean salinity
- Advances in ocean predictions and projections
- Opportunities to Mitigate the risks of climate variability and change on coastal communities and coastal ecosystems

Mitigating climate variability and change impacts



Unloading sardines - 1920s

Women cannery workers on the line - 1949



End of an Era - Cannery Row.1950



Sources: City of Monterey; Tommasi et al., 2017; Ecological Applications



The Future of Offshore Wind Energy Technology



Walt Musial Offshore Wind Lead National Renewable Energy Laboratory Golden, Colorado





Why Offshore Wind Energy?



Figure credit: NREL Offshore resource shown out to 50 nm from the coast. US waters extend to 200 nm from coast ✓ Electric generation close to population (80% of U.S. lives near the coast)

- ✓ Stronger, more consistent winds
- ✓ Larger scale projects possible
- ✓ Creates jobs
- Revitalizes ports and domestic manufacturing

Most Offshore Wind Deployment Has Been on Fixed-bottom Support Structures



The future Floating Wind Energy market may be bigger than the fixed-bottom market

Floating Offshore Wind is Being Considered Where Waters Are Too Deep for Current Fixed-Bottom Technology

- 80% of offshore wind resources are in waters greater than 60 meters
- Floating wind enables sites farther from shore, out of sight, with better winds!
- Floating wind technology is expected to be at deployed at utility scale by 2024.



Some Areas of the World Being Considered for Floating Wind

Portions of this slide were adapted courtesy of Aker Solutions

Where in the U.S. is Floating Offshore Wind Being Considered?

58% of the U.S. offshore wind resource is in water depths greater than 60m depth, which will require floating foundations



Pacific Region – High water depths require floating technology
North Atlantic – high demand, scarcity of shallow sites
Great Lakes – visual impacts may require farther distances

Figure credit: NREL

Oil and Gas Experience Helped Accelerate First Generation of Floating Wind Turbine Prototypes

Basic types of floating wind substructures were derived from oil and gas Oil and gas criteria alone may result in safe, but bulky and expensive designs

Next phase: Optimized engineering approach will yield commercial massproduced utility-scale floating wind systems





Photo credit: PPI

Photo credit: https://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/newsbysector/energy/oiland gas/10978898/Life-on-an-oil-rig-in-pictures.html?frame=2980750

Floating Wind's Next Generation Platforms

- Lighter and more stable platforms
- Full-system designs that facilitate low turbine motion, port assembly, commissioning, and stable tow-out
- 14 Pilot-scale projects are being built to demonstrate next generation technology



TetraSpar



SBM Tension Leg Platform

Examples of Hybrid Systems

Figure credits: Stiesdal Offshore Wind and SBM

Larger Turbines Present New Challenges



Expected Turbine Growth – 15 MW by 2030

Offshore turbines are twice as big as land-based

Larger turbines lower project costs

Floating and fixed-bottom offshore turbines use same turbines....so far.....

Larger turbines:

- Exceed FAA height limits
- Are more visible from shore
- Will require infrastructure upgrades or alternative installation methods

Technical Innovations Enable Continued Turbine Upscaling

Advanced light-weight materials Advanced controls to limit loads and protect vital systems High-fidelity design and analysis tools Material and manufacturing innovations Automated service and logistics Remote diagnostics and robotic repairs Industrialization of the supply chain



The primary innovation of larger turbines are the dozens of smaller innovations that enable the larger turbine to exist

Technical Innovations Enable Larger Turbines

Photos Courtesy of GE





GE 12-MW Wind Turbine Nacelle

107-meter Blade for GE 12-MW Wind Turbine

- The GE-12MW Haliade-X wind turbine will be commercially available in 2022
- Other wind turbine manufacturers are developing larger machines in the same time frame

One 12 MW Haliade-X could supply the equivalent energy for 4,000 – 4,500 average U.S. homes

Thank you for your attention!

Walt Musial Offshore Wind Research Platform Lead National Renewable Energy Laboratory walter.musial@nrel.gov

Photo Credit : Dennis Schroeder-NREL



QUESTIONS FOR PANELISTS?







STAKEHOLDER INPUT ON FUTURE DIRECTIONS FOR MID-ATLANTIC OCEAN MANAGEMENT

11:15 a.m. Building on Current MACO and Work Group Efforts

11:45 a.m. Brainstorming Other Collaboration Needs and Opportunities

Work Group Leads

Offshore Renewable Energy



Michael Snyder

Ocean and Great Lakes Program Manager, New York Department of State

Maritime Commerce and Navigation Safety



Jerry Barnes

Marine Planner, Aids to Navigation Program Manager, U.S. Coast Guard Fifth District

Ocean Acidification



Mary Ford

Director of Engagement and External Relations, Mid-Atlantic Regional Association Coastal Ocean Observing System (MARACOOS)

Work Group Leads

Non-Consumptive Recreation



Kevin Hassell

Environmental Specialist, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection

Marine Debris



Laura McKay Program Manager, Virginia Coastal Zone Management Program



WRAP-UP & NEXT STEPS





Upcoming Webinars & Events

- May 28, 11 a.m. ~ MACAN Webinar: NOAA National and Mid-Atlantic Ocean Acidification Research Plan
- Sune 9, 1 p.m. ~ MACO Webinar: Mid-Atlantic Fish Habitat is Changing
- * June 16, 2 p.m. ~ MARCO Portal 'How Tuesday' webinar on U.S. Coast Guard New Jersey Seacoast/ Delaware Bay Port Access Route Study (PARS) and Proposed Cape Fear River Approach Anchorage Area

Visit midatlanticocean.org for more details Contact: info@midatlanticocean.org